

ETHICAL POLICY OF THE PUBLICATION

The editors of the journal do their best to comply with the ethical standards adopted by the international scientific community, to prevent any violation of these standards, both in their own work and in relationships with all participants in the process of scientific publications.

Chief Editor

The editor-in-chief of the scientific publication «Humanitarian Bulletin of the Don State Agrarian University» decides on the publication of the manuscript, based on the opinion of the reviewers, members of the Editorial Board and the Editorial Board, and is responsible for the decision.

The editor-in-chief evaluates the intellectual content of manuscripts regardless of the race, gender, religious beliefs, origin, citizenship or political preferences of the authors. Scientific value and practical significance are the main criteria for the publication of the submitted work.

The Editor-in-Chief, together with the Editorial Board and the Editorial Board, take adequate response measures in case of ethical claims regarding the reviewed manuscripts or published materials.

Editorial board

informs the authors about the receipt of the submitted manuscript within seven working days from the date of its receipt;

ensures the confidentiality of the received texts and research data, the review process and correspondence with the authors;

organizes a blind review procedure, which is anonymous for both the reviewer and the authors;

informs the authors about the comments and recommendations of the reviewer and, together with the author, determines the terms for making changes to the submitted text;

makes changes to the text of the article of a meaningful nature by prior agreement with the author, and stylistic and textual corrections can be made by the editors themselves.

Members of the editorial board and the editorial board of the publication have the right to submit articles for consideration in the general manner. The editorial board of the publication undertakes to ensure equal rights for all authors, the absence of any preferences for these persons in the process of reviewing, preparing for publication and publishing articles.

The editorial board guarantees the absence of any discrimination of authors on the grounds of race, gender, nationality, age or any other grounds. Authors can be persons who independently conducted scientific research and presented them in

the format necessary for recognizing the publication as scientific. Acceptance of an article for publication depends solely on the scientific value of its content.

The editorial board may reject the text before its formal review if it considers it inappropriate for the format or content of the publication, or if it receives information that it has been fully or partially published or is under consideration by another publisher. In case of detection of facts of plagiarism, falsification of data and other unethical behavior when submitting a text for publication, the editorial board of the publication denies the author the right to publish his material.

A published article that violates established ethical standards may be retracted by decision of the editorial board. The basis for retraction of the article is: the article was published in several editions; plagiarism in a publication; conflict of interest; falsification or manipulation of empirical data; incorrect list of authors; the presence of errors that cast doubt on the scientific value of the article.

Ethical requirements for reviewers

Reviewers are encouraged to observe the following ethical standards:

- not disclose (confidentiality) the content of peer-reviewed materials and assessments outside of the peer review process;

- inform the editor-in-chief (within his knowledge) about the presence of falsified data, plagiarism and other gross violations of research activities in the reviewed manuscript;

- comply with the deadlines agreed with the editors for the submission of reviews;

- warn about a possible conflict of interest before starting to work with the text;

- submit a reasonable, objective and constructive review in accordance with the form provided by the editors;

- inform the editor-in-chief in a timely manner that the reviewer considers himself insufficiently qualified to review the article or cannot write a review within the allotted time;

- do not use the information, ideas received during the review for the purpose of personal gain.

The reviewer cannot be the author or co-author of the article, the supervisor (consultant) of any of the authors, as well as the repeated co-author of any of them. Both members of the editorial board and the editorial board, as well as other scientists who are recognized experts in the field of knowledge to which the article is devoted, can be involved in the review process. In the event of a serious divergence of opinions of the reviewers or reasonable doubts of the editors about the possibility of publishing the article, an additional review may be carried out. The editors do not disclose the names of reviewers and the results of examinations.

Ethical requirements for authors

By submitting a text for publication, the author/s guarantee/s:

the absence in the article of links to sites prohibited in the Russian Federation, and materials of an extremist nature;

correctness in the designation of the authorship of the submitted text, that is, the absence as an author or co-authors of those who did not participate in the study;

in the presence of co-authorship - all co-authors saw and approved the final version of the work and agreed to submit it for publication;

originality of the text, the absence in the text of incorrectly designed borrowings from other authors (plagiarism);

the absence of deliberately false information and facts, erroneous references, full and accurate citation of scientific papers used in the process of conducting research and writing an article;

the article has not been previously published in whole or in part and will not be sent to another publication until the editorial board makes a decision regarding its publication;

the availability of informed consent from all people who have become the objects of research, since the right to privacy must always be respected;

disclosure in their manuscripts of financial or other existing conflicts of interest that may be perceived as having an impact on the results or conclusions presented in the work;

the absence in the text of criticism or comments of a personal nature in relation to the authors of previously published works on similar topics;

a correct response to the wishes and comments of the editorial board when considering the author's article in the editorial office.

Authors submitting their works for publication in the publication confirm that their publication does not violate any of the existing copyrights, and guarantee the publisher compensation for losses in the event of such violations. For the convenience of distribution and implementation of the policy on the use of materials, the authors transfer to the publisher the exclusive ownership of the manuscript, unless otherwise provided. The author is a person who was directly involved in the research, development of the concept and writing the article. Other persons involved in one way or another in obtaining the scientific results presented in the publication can be noted in the text of the article. In cases of serious violation of these ethical standards, the editors reserve the right to terminate cooperation with the author, as well as report this violation at the place of his affiliation.